Toronto Metropolitan University

Computer Science
Graduate Studies

MSc IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
REPORT OF THE ORAL EXAMINING COMMITTEE

Student Name:		Student ID:
Date:	Time:	Location:
Thesis Title:		

The above-named student has undergone oral examination by the following **Examining Committee**:

	Name	**Signature**
Chair		
Supervisor		
Member		
Member		

A. Written Thesis: It is the decision of the Examining Committee to:

☐ Accept the paper[1] ☐ Refer the paper for major revisions[2] ☐ Fail the paper[3]

B. Oral Examination: The Examining Committee finds the Oral Examination:

☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory ☐ Pending

[1] Any minor revisions ranging from typographical errors to specified insertions or deletions that do not radically modify the development/argument of the paper shall be clearly specified in writing and the student's Supervisor shall ensure that they are made. When the Supervisor confirms that the changes have been made, the examination requirement has been met.

[2] Detailed reasons for referring the paper for major revision ranging from re-writing a large part of a chapter to including additional work will be supplied in writing by the Chair of the Examining Committee to the Dean, the Program Director, and the candidate within one week following the oral examination. The Examining Committee must give final approval to the major revisions by reconvening the examination or by consultation.

[3] Detailed reasons for the decision will be supplied in writing by the Chair of the Examining Committee to the Dean, the Program Director, and the candidate within one week following the oral examination.

Comments/Recommendations:

The Examining Committee recommends that the candidate be considered for the **Governor General Gold Medal Award**:

☐ Yes ☐ No